Quadratus Lumborum Block for Post Caesarean Delivery Analgesia: A Review
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Abstract

Caesarean delivery is one of the commonest surgical procedures being performed world-wide, and with it comes the burden for management of acute post-operative pain in parturient. A number of modalities including neuraxial opioids, intravenous drugs and truncal nerve blocks are available to control acute postsurgical pain. Quadratus lumborum block has recently been emerged as a modality for pain relief following caesarean delivery. This review highlights the anatomical aspects, mechanism of action of block, relevant literature search and future directions for use of quadratus lumborum block in parturient undergoing caesarean delivery.

Pain after caesarean delivery

Caesarean delivery (CD) is the commonest surgical procedure performed worldwide. Adequately treated post caesarean pain allows early breastfeeding, enables the mother to take care of the new-born and helps in better maternal-neonatal bonding¹. Management of post-delivery pain is thus one of the key determinants in improving perioperative care after CD²-⁴.

Poorly managed pain after CD can lead to postpartum depression (approximate incidence of 19%), reduced breast feeding success, delayed early ambulation with risk of thromboembolism and progression to persistent post-surgical pain²-⁵. The incidence of persistent post-caesarean pain has been found to be around 40% after three months and can last up to one year⁶-⁷. An ideal analgesic technique should not affect the mother’s ability to take care of a new-born and is minimally transferred via breast milk. None of the single technique (neuraxial opioids, paracetamol, NSAIDS, or nerve blocks) provides sufficient analgesia and is devoid of adverse effects. Hence multimodal analgesia has been advocated to control postoperative pain.

Multimodal techniques include neuraxial opioids, parenteral agents like opioids, paracetamol and NSAIDs. Intrathecal morphine remains the gold standard intraoperative intervention for controlling pain after CD⁸. Although being the standard of care and one of the oldest modalities for pain relief, central neuraxial blockade with intrathecal morphine (ITM) as an adjuvant, is associated with untoward side effects, like, pruritus, nausea, vomiting, and sedation⁹. Further, certain components of multimodal analgesics such as NSAIDS may be contradicted in patients having bleeding or renal impairment.
With widespread availability and increase in expertise with the use of ultrasound by anaesthesiologist, truncal plane blocks like transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block, quadratus lumborum block (QLB), erector spinae plane (ESP) block are being increasingly used for the management of pain in obstetric patients. TAP block has been shown to minimize analgesic requirement and decrease the pain scores in postoperative period. However, it does not provide extensive analgesia due to inadequate visceral coverage and analgesic effect is mainly dependent on the anatomical technique used\(^{10}\). On the other hand, ESP block provides both somatic and visceral analgesia, but it has not been extensively studied in post-CD scenario.

QLB is easy, safe and provides extensive analgesia from T7-L1 dermatomes due to the spread of drug injectate to paravertebral space\(^{11,12}\). Several randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews have recently been published to validate the role of QLB in managing acute pain in parturient following CD\(^{13-20}\).

In this narrative review, we provide an overview of anatomy, techniques and variants of QLB and outline the currently available data regarding its utility in women undergoing cesarean delivery.

**Anatomy**

QLB was first described by Blanco et al in 2007\(^{21}\). QLB is a posterior abdominal wall block. Also known as interfascial plane block, it requires the local anaesthetic to deposit in the thoracolumbar fascia (TLF). TLF is a posterior extension of abdominal wall muscle fascia and engulfs the quadratus lumborum, psoas major and erector spinae muscles. Drug injected in this plane helps in preventing somatic and visceral pain after abdominal surgery\(^{22,23}\).

The more superficial nature of the block confers it with more safety and better resolution with use of ultrasound guidance. Due to the presence of quadratus lumborum muscle, the needle tip stays away from the peritoneum, hence reducing intraperitoneal injection and bowel injury. The precise mechanism of action of QLB has yet not been deciphered, but drug spread along TLF plays a significant role. Anatomically the TLF is a sheet of fused aponeuroses, consists of anterior, middle and posterior layers. The anterior layer lies anterior to the quadratus muscle. Injectable is deposited between the anterior layer and the muscle, spreading cranially beneath the lateral arcuate ligament to endothoracic fascia. The drug might reach lower thoracic paravertebral space and thus accounts for visceral analgesia\(^{24}\). The middle layer flows between erector spinae muscles and quadratus lumborum muscle. The posterior layer of the TLF encloses the erector spinae muscle. (Figure I) The middle layer of the TLF connects the deep lamina of the posterior layer to the lateral border of erector spinae muscle. It forms a triangular area known as the lumbar interfascial triangle (LIFT), which is the main target site for drug injection in the QLB. The LIFT is close to the thoracic paravertebral space, leading to drug spread in the paravertebral space and provides visceral analgesia\(^{22,23,25}\). Cadaveric studies reveal that direct spread of contrast into the roots and branches of the lumbar plexus can possibly lead to blockade of L1-L3\(^{23,26,27}\). Blockade of sympathetic peripheral nerves can also be explained in a similar way. TLF has an extensive sensory network of both A and C nociceptors and mechanoreceptors, with sympathetic nerve fibres posterior to quadratus muscle and innervating TLF. Drug injected blocks these fibres and lead to changes in both local circulation and autonomic tone, enhance analgesic efficacy and reduce the incidence of both acute and chronic pain\(^{28,29}\).

Numerous studies reported a longer duration of analgesia with QLB as compared to TAP block\(^{13-20}\). The most plausible explanation is the spread of the drug to the paravertebral space; this space is filled with adipose tissue. Since the perfusion in adipose tissue is low, absorption of anaesthetic into the systemic circulation is very slow leading to prolonged duration of action\(^{30}\).

**Approaches of the block**

The literature describes three different approaches to QLB, these are type 1 also known as lateral approach, type 2 or posterior approach and type 3 or transmuscular approach.

**Technique of QLB**

Block is preferably performed in the lateral decubitus position as it provides greater exposure to the neuraxial structures. Also, the position is more comfortable for performer as it provides better and firm grip of the ultrasound probe and needle. A low-frequency curved array probe is preferred as it allows visualization of lateral abdominal wall muscles and the quadratus lumborum muscle. The probe is initially placed in mid axillary line between the iliac crest and subcostal margin and moved...
posteriorly, till tapering of three lateral abdominal muscles, and appearance of fascia transversalis and quadratus muscle is observed. At this time, the transverse process of the lumbar vertebra, erector spinae, psoas major and quadratus muscles can be acknowledged. (Figure II)

Needling Phase$^{31,32,33}$

Type 1/lateral approach (Figure III)- In this approach, needle direction is from the mid axillary line towards the junction of tapered abdominal muscle layer and lateral border of QLM. The drug is deposited once the needle penetrates the transversus abdominis aponeurosis at the lateral border of QLM.

Type 2/posterior approach (Figure III)- In this approach, the direction of the needle is from the posterior axillary line to the posterior border of QLM. The local anaesthetic is deposited on the posterior surface of QLM, between QLM and erector spinae muscle.

Type 3/transmuscular approach (Figure III)- This is the most effective approach but necessitates the patient to be either in lateral or sitting position. The needle is directed from the posterior axillary line through erector spinae and QLM towards the anterior border of QLM. The drug is injected into the tissue plane between QLM and psoas muscle. This leads to the spread of the drug into thoracic paravertebral space and blocks lumbar nerve roots and somatic nerves, provides an extensive blockade from T6-T7 to L1-L2.

Type 4/Intramuscular approach- Herein the drug is injected directly into the quadratus muscle.

Which approach should be preferred? There is limited literature on the safety and efficacy of the three techniques. The choice of the block depends upon the surgical anaesthesia desired and the expertise of the...
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wave lithotripsy\textsuperscript{42,43}, orthopaedic surgeries\textsuperscript{44,45,46} and also has been used in paediatric surgeries like, orchidopexy, lower abdominal surgeries and inguinal hernia repair\textsuperscript{47,48}.

**Future research**

Future research should focus on efficacy of different approaches of QLB in isolation or their combinations; the role of various additives and catheter-based techniques. Researchers should also specifically seek the data on block-related complications. Although safe, QLB is a technically difficult block as the needle moves closer to abdominal and retroperitoneal structures and requires vigilance. QLB has a promising role in multimodal analgesic regimens following CD.
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